

**To:** Albright, David[Albright.David@epa.gov]; Dermer, Michele[Dermer.Michele@epa.gov]  
**Cc:** Diamond, Jane[Diamond.Jane@epa.gov]  
**From:** Montgomery, Michael  
**Sent:** Fri 1/23/2015 3:46:31 PM  
**Subject:** Fwd: UIC Follow-up Qs  
01.22.14 532 Wells Data Analysis for EPA.xlsx  
ATT00001.htm

## Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** "Habel, Rob@DOC" <Rob.Habel@conservation.ca.gov>  
**Date:** January 22, 2015 at 4:59:42 PM PST  
**To:** "Montgomery, Michael" <Montgomery.Michael@epa.gov>  
**Cc:** "albright.david@epa.gov" <albright.david@epa.gov>, "Dermer, Michele" <Dermer.Michele@epa.gov>, "Bishop, Jonathan@Waterboards" <Jonathan.Bishop@waterboards.ca.gov>, "Bohlen, Steven@DOC" <Steven.Bohlen@conservation.ca.gov>  
**Subject:** FW: UIC Follow-up Qs

Mike:

Please find the attached Excel file where staff have summarized data in response to your questions. The information is copied below.

Summary	Count	%
% of Wells that have sub-3000 mg/L TDS	176	33%
% of Wells that have 3000-10000 mg/L TDS	279	52%

% of Wells that have no TDS data (as of 1/22/15 1:30 PM)	48	9%
% of Wells that have TDS >= 10000 mg/L	29	5%
Total	532	100%

There are 43 wells that did not report any injection.

Our database is limited for well depth information. The attached indicates a range of depths based in the Top and Bottom Perf and True Vertical Depth data. These fields in the database have a lot of blanks (no entries).

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks,

Rob